WARRICK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING REGULAR SESSION

COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM 107 W. Locust Street, Suite 303 Boonville, Indiana February 24, 2014 4:00 P.M.

The Warrick County Commissioners met in regular session with Don Williams, President, Richard Reid, Vice President and Marlin Weisheit, Member.

President Don Williams called the meeting to order.

Auditor Secretary, Angie Leslie, recorded the minutes. Auditor, Dixie Dugan, and First Deputy, Allan James, was in attendance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

AREA PLAN COMMISSION

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF SURETY

Woodfield Subdivision Sec. 2 by Woodfield Development, LLC, Steve Blankenberg, Mbr.~ Streets, Drainage and Sidewalk Construction ~ Requesting a modification from the Subdivision Control Ordinance to have a 1 year extension on streets and drainage (\$93,055.00) and requesting a one year extension for sidewalks (\$24,499.20). Total LOC reduced from \$124,582.00 to \$117,554.20. Had LOC for 7 years and 8 months. Expires 3/6/2014.

Don Williams: Okay, we have some Area Plan Business, Ms. Rector; you want to take off with that?

<u>Sherri Rector</u>: Yes Sir, the first items are Request for Extension of Surety, its Woodfield Subdivision Section 2 by Woodfield Development, LLC, Steve Blankenberg, Member. This is Streets, Drainage and Sidewalk construction. They're requesting a modification from the Subdivision Control Ordinance to have a one (1) year extension on streets and drainage for a dollar amount of ninety three thousand fifty five dollars (\$93,055.00) and requesting a one (1) year extension for sidewalks of twenty four thousand four hundred ninety nine dollars and twenty cents (\$24,499.20). Total Letter of Credit reduced from one hundred twenty four thousand five hundred eighty two dollars (\$124,582.00) to one hundred seventeen thousand five hundred fifty four dollars and twenty cents (\$117,554.20). They have had Letters of Credit for seven (7) years and eight (8) months.

Don Williams: Okay, thank you. Anything you'd like to add, either one of you?

Steve Blankenberger: Just for the record, yes, Steve Blankenberger representing Woodfield Development.

Steve Sherwood: And Steve Sherwood with Neikirk Engineering, representing Woodfield Development.

Don Williams: Do you have anything to add?

Steve Sherwood: For the record it's 'Blankenberger' instead...

Sherri Rector: Yeah, I just wrote that down...I saw that.

Don Williams: Okay, numbers look sufficient, Mr. Engineer?

Bobby Howard: Yes, the dollar amount is sufficient.

Don Williams: Any questions from the Board?

Rick Reid: Motion to approve.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Have a motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries. Thank you.

Woodfield Subdivision Sec. 3 by Woodfield Development, LLC, Steve Blankenberg, Mbr.~ Streets, Drainage and Sidewalk Construction ~ Requesting a modification from the Subdivision Control Ordinance to have a 1 year extension on streets and drainage (\$29,253.40) and requesting a one year extension for sidewalks (\$38,319.00). No reduction of LOC amount of \$67,572.40. Had LOC for 6 years and 3 months. Expires 3/6/2014.

<u>Sherri Rector</u>: The next one is Woodfield Subdivision Section 3, same owners, Street, Drainage, Sidewalk construction requesting a modification from the Subdivision Ordinance to have a one (1) year extension on streets and drainage twenty nine thousand two hundred fifty three dollars and forty cents (\$29,253.40) and requesting a one (1) year extension for sidewalks of thirty eight thousand three hundred nineteen dollars (\$38,319.00). No reduction from Letter of Credit amount of sixty seven thousand five hundred seventy two dollars and forty cents (\$67,572.40). They have had Letters of Credit for six (6) years and three (3) months.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, are there any questions from the Board?

Marlin Weisheit: I have none.

Rick Reid: None.

- 2 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

Don Williams: I'll entertain a motion.

Rick Reid: Motion to approve.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries. Thank you.

Steve Sherwood: Thank you.

Steve Blankenberger: Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you guys.

Engelbrecht Place Section 2 Phase 1 by Engelbrecht Development LLC, Allan Holweger, Member. ~ Sidewalk Construction. Requesting a modification from the Subdivision Control Ordinance to have a one year extension and no reduction from \$14,341.50. Had LOC 9 years. LOC expires 4/15/2014.

<u>Sherri Rector</u>: The next subdivision is Engelbrecht Place Section 2 Phase 1 by Engelbrecht Development, LLC, Allan Holweger, Member. Sidewalk construction, requesting a modification from the Subdivision Ordinance to have a one (1) year extension and no reduction from fourteen thousand three hundred forty one dollars and fifty cents (\$14,341.50). He has had nine (9) years on his Letter or Credit.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Is there anybody here to represent this? No reduction, do you know if the numbers are good, Bobby?

Bobby Howard: Yes.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, if you want to act on it I'll entertain a motion if there are no questions.

Rick Reid: Motion to approve.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries.

Engelbrecht Place Section 2 Phase 2 by Engelbrecht Development LLC, Allan Holweger, Member. ~ Sidewalk construction. Requesting a modification from the Subdivision Control Ordinance to have a one year extension and a reduction from \$8,438.00 to \$5,740.00. Had LOC 8 years. LOC expires 3/22/2014.

<u>Sherri Rector</u>: The next is Engelbrecht Place Section 2 Phase 2, same owner, Sidewalk construction. Requesting a modification from the Subdivision Ordinance to have a one (1) year extension and reduction from eight thousand four hundred thirty eight dollars (\$8,438.00) to five thousand seven hundred forty dollars (\$5,740.00). He has had the Letter of Credit for eight (8) years.

Don Williams: The numbers are okay, Mr. Engineer?

<u>Bobby Howard</u>: Yes, the dollar amount is sufficient.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Any other questions from the Board?

Rick Reid: No.

<u>Don Williams</u>: What's your pleasure?

Rick Reid: Motion to approve.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries. Thank you.

REZONING PETITION

Dr. and Lenn Rd. Ohio Twp. From "R-3" Resort to "R-2" Multiple Family. Complete legal on file. Advertised in the Standard January 30, 2014. Recommendation of approval by Plan Commission February 10, 2014.

<u>Sherri Rector</u>: The last item that I have is a Rezoning Petition. It is PC-R-14-01 Petition of Level Development, LLC. by Dan Buck, Member. Owners James K. and Jacqueline Johnston to rezone seven point eight eight (7.88) acres located on the east side of Lenn Road two hundred (200) feet east of the intersection of Oakpark Drive and Lenn Road in Ohio Township from "R-3" Resort of "R-2" Multiple Family. This was advertised in the Boonville Standard on January the 30th. It is a recommendation of approval by the Planning Commission at their February 10th meeting. You do have a copy of the proposed plat. You did see it at the meeting two (2) weeks ago, and it's the new subdivision going in off of Lenn Road. And this is...it was approved subject to the rezoning going through today.

Don Williams: Okay. Do you have anything you'd like to say, Sir?

Jim Morley, Jr.: Jim Morley, Jr., Morley and Associates, Project Engineer. I'll answer any questions you may have.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, thank you. Is there anyone here that would like to remonstrate either for or against this rezoning? Any questions from the Board? If not I'll entertain a motion.

Sherri Rector: Don, it would be...

Don Williams: We need an ordinance number.

Sherri Rector: 2014-06.

Don Williams: Okay, 2014-06.

Rick Reid: I'll make a motion to approve Rezoning Petition 2014-06.

Don Williams: Do I have a second?

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye.

Jim Morley, Jr.: Thank you very much.

Don Williams: Passes three (3) zero (0).

Marlin Weisheit: Thank, Jim.

Sherri Rector: That's all I have. Thank you.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

January 13, 2014 @ 1:00p.m...Board of Finance Meeting January 13, 2014 @ 4:00p.m... Regular Session January 16, 2014 @ 3:30p.m...Payroll Session January 27, 2014 @ 4:00p.m...Regular Session

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, the next items are Approval of Minutes, notice Item A, that is an error on the agenda, that is the Board of Finance to approve and they will meet January 2015. So we have a Regular Session January 13th, Payroll Session January 16th and January 27th Regular Session. Do you want to take them alone or together?

Rick Reid: Together.

Marlin Weisheit: I'll make a motion to approve all of them.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion passes three (3), zero (0).

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

EMS Monthly Report

Don Williams: Okay, Items for Discussion, EMS Report.

<u>Pat Rauscher</u>: Good afternoon, Pat Rauscher from Warrick EMS. I've got the January 2014 Operation and Financial Reports. For the Operational Report we had three hundred eighty eight (388) total ambulance runs, two hundred thirty two (232) of those to local hospitals, forty three (43) refusals, thirty eight (38) hospital transfers, thirty three (33) others

- 4 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

and forty two (42) private runs. Customer Satisfaction Surveys there were none returned in January. We had a ninety eight percent (98%) success rate for our goal response time.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, any questions? If not let's go to the Financials.

<u>Pat Rauscher</u>: For the Financials I have the month...monthly as also the year-to-date will be the same. For collects we were three thousand nine hundred eight dollars (\$3,908.00) over budget for collects. And for our total expenses we were four thousand nine hundred ten dollars (4,910.00) under budget for the month and year-to-date.

Don Williams: That's a good start. Are there any questions from the Board?

Marlin Weisheit: I have none.

Rick Reid: None.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

Marlin Weisheit: Thanks, Pat.

Pat Rauscher: Have a good week.

Rick Reid: You too.

Don Williams: You too.

Philip Springstun

Don Williams: Mr. Springstun, you're up, Sir.

Philip Springstun: Good afternoon, Gentlemen and Lady.

Don Williams: Afternoon.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Philip Springstun, I reside at 899 East Tennyson Road, Boonville, Indiana. I've been a resident of Warrick County for thirty one (31) years. I want to thank you for the opportunity to address you this afternoon. I know there was some confusion as to whether my written request met a deadline and I appreciate you honoring my request. And I do apologize for my voice I'm fighting a cold and I've been in and out...

<u>Don Williams</u>: I'm there with you.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Cleaning out grain bins today so... It was brought to my attention several weeks ago that a elected official in the county, specifically Pat Brooks, the current Warrick County Treasurer, was violating Indiana Code 4-2-6-16 which is titled 'Nepotism'. She did this by hiring her sister into the office. And I guess just to clarify things, I understand, Mr. Glass, you've been looking into this if you would...maybe you have to bring me up-to-date or whatever you prefer.

Todd Glass: Excuse me; I don't have any report at the moment, Sir.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Okay, alright, will you...just so we're all on the same page, will you agree that if a state law and a local ordinance or a local resolution or both going in the same direction that the state law would trumpet a local ordinance or resolution?

Todd Glass: Are you asking me questions or making a presentation?

Philip Springstun: Yes, I'm asking you a question.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: State statute is what we follow.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Okay, but the state does allow local government to establish an ordinance or resolution that actually can be stronger than state law, do you agree?

Todd Glass: Correct.

Philip Springstun: Okay, thank you. Well, I'm going to attempt to present the facts and try to avoid the 'he said, she said, they said thing'. So you can follow along (Philip Springstun passes out packets) you'll see the number in the top right corner, that would be the number of the document and so as I speak for that document I will...that way you can hopefully follow along. During my discovery I've discovered a lot of things. You'll see document one (1) there, the area down there is...and I do apologize to avoid a lot of paper and a lot of copying I just took pages and have the date up there and you can verify that at your leisure. But the first document one (1) there is a page from the minutes of 6-11-2012 Commissioner Minutes. I'm just going to read the brief statement: 'The two (2) policies are a Resolution Establishing Policy Prohibiting Nepotism and the second one is a Resolution Establishing Policy Requiring Disclosure of Contracts with Relatives.' If you'll turn to the back page of that document, that's document number two (2). That is a paragraph or a page taken out of the minutes of January 27, 2014, it's under the title of 'County Attorney' and I'll just read a brief statement from there: 'Also, Mr. President, I've been looking into some issues relating to Resolution 2012-07 that was a Resolution passed in June of 2012 about the nepotism statutes. And I've been looking at it on behalf of some office holders who are trying their best to comply with it.' You should recognize document number three (3) and document number four (4). Document number three (3) would be the 2012-07 Resolution; document four (4) would be 2014-04 Resolution which was just passed on February the 10th of this year. If you'll look at document three (3) for a moment on the second page there's a paragraph that was removed; it's not in the new resolution. And that document states: 'Individuals who are employed by the County on the date the individual's relative begins serving a term of an elected office in the County...'

Don Williams: What a minute let me find that; where are you at again?

- 5 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

Philip Springstun: Second page of document three (3). I'm not sure if I highlighted it, I highlighted it on mine.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Now take a deep breath, just relax, we're with you.

Philip Springstun: I don't want to waste your time.

Don Williams: Okay.

Philip Springstun: Second page, it'd be the second paragraph.

Don Williams: Got it.

Philip Springstun: 'Individuals who are employed by the County on the date the individual's relative begins serving a term of an elected office in the County and the individual is in the direct line of supervision of the newly elected official are prohibited from remaining in that position.' that paragraph is missing from 2014-04. And I know there's some language clean-up following that, there was some duplication; I understand that. It would appear that this paragraph was removed because with that paragraph being in place it's different than the 2014. And you know we've heard the adage 'if I knew then what I know now' if I actually knew that 2012-07 existed, according to this ordinance when the same elected official, Pat Brooks, held the Office of Recorder and hired her sister in that office, she was in violation of 2012-07 County Resolution. I was, however, not aware of that resolution but discovered that during the research of these other documents. If you go on to document five (5), Indiana Code 36-1-20.2-16 'Each elected officer of the unit shall annually certify in writing, subject to the penalties for perjury that the officer has not violated this chapter. An officer shall submit the certification to the executive of the unit not later than December 31st of each year.' If you'll turn that page over that would be document six (6) that is actually your document with the Warrick County title. I was unable to obtain this document so I'm not sure if this signed Agreement exists. But that's also listed as the last item of both the 2012 Resolution and the 2014 Resolution that not only is it required by law, it is also required in your Resolution. Having said that then that piece of paper signed by Pat Brooks should be on file for the last...since 2009, when she was Recorder and had her sister working for her. And it should be on record because she went to work for her in December of 2013 as Warrick County Treasure. So I would charge you to find that document as I was unable to obtain that. If you'll also notice that on that document there's several Indiana Codes that that cites and I've not copied those for you but I'll just read for you the last part of that document 'I affirm under the penalties of perjury...

Don Williams: Where are you at again?

Philip Springstun: I'm on document six (6), I apologize...

Don Williams: Okay, I got it.

Philip Springstun: And once again this document is required by not only your ordinance but the Indiana State Code. 'I affirm under the penalties of perjury that the above and forgoing representatives are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, belief and ability'. So in my opinion if this document was not filed she's violated a law. If she did file it and she's indeed hired her sister as I said, she has created perjury by signing that document. Document seven (7), the Indiana Office of Inspector General has summarized the Nepotism Rule in three short words and those three words are 'don't hire relatives'. Document number eight (8) is in fact the Nepotism Code which is in question; Indiana Code 4-2-6-16 section (b): 'As used in this section, "employed" refers to all employment, including full-time, part-time, temporary, intermittent, or hourly. The term includes service as a state officer or a special state appointee'. This would answer the 'he said, she said' comment of 'it doesn't matter, she's part-time'. Section (c) under that same section: 'An individual employed in an agency may not hire a relative'. Section (d): 'Except as prohibited in subsection (e)', which we'll get to shortly, 'an individual may not be employed in the same agency in which an individual's relative is the appointing authority'. Section (e), which is the only subsection that allows to be exempt from this law: 'An individual may be employed in the same agency in which the individual's relative is the appointing authority, if the individual has been employed in the same agency for at least twelve (12) consecutive months immediately preceding the date the individual's relative becomes the appointing authority'. Keep in mind as I mentioned earlier under your current Resolution 2012-07 when she was an employee of the Recorder's Office she was violating your local ordinance and was not held accountable. And in this case the fact that she, Pat Brooks, became the Treasurer of Warrick County January 2013, and her sister was employed in her office in December of 2013 Pat Brooks had not been in that position for twelve (12) months, nor neither was her sister. That is the only section that has any kind of...you know, that you're not subject to the Nepotism Law. Section (f): Except is provided in subsection (e), an individual may not be placed in a relative's direct line of supervision.' And there is some question of what that is so if you'll go to document seven (7); Indiana Code 36-1-20.2-4 identifies 'Direct line of supervision'. 'For the purposes of this chapter a person is in the 'direct line of supervision' of an elected officer or employee if the elected officer or employee is in a position to affect the terms and conditions of the individual's employment, including making decisions about work assignments, compensation, grievances, advancement, or performance evaluation'.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Phil, is that not nine (9)? Is that on nine (9)?

Rick Reid: Yeah, it's in nine (9).

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Yes, I'm sorry, document nine (9), it would be the Indiana Code...apparently I did not highlight your copies and I apologize for that.

Don Williams: Alright, start again.

Philip Springstun: In the Indiana...document 9, Indiana Code 36...its right, it's close to the bottom of the page, its Indiana Code and its highlighted 'Direct Line of Supervision'. That would alleviate the hearsay of 'well, she didn't hire her, her first deputy did'. And so, direct line of supervision is ultimately the responsibility of the elected official. If you'll turn the page over, I believe that will be document ten (10). In the middle of the page you'll see Indiana Code 36-2-16-3: 'Performance of duties of an appointing officer; regulations and penalties; responsible for acts of the deputy'; 'A deputy appointed under this chapter may perform all of the official duties of the officer who appointed him and is subject to the same regulations and penalties as of the officer. 'The officer appointing the deputy is responsible for all of the official acts of the deputy'. Therefore, the Treasurer in this instance would be responsible for any employment taken by their First Deputy. If you now continue to document number eleven (11), that's actually page twelve (12) of the Warrick County Handbook: 'Authorization to recruit and hire, to fill a vacancy in an existing or newly created position, rests

solely with the elected official, human resources, or the designated department heads'. If you'll continue to document twelve (12), it'll be towards the bottom, the actual Indiana Code 36-1-3-8 begins but what I want to address, if you'll turn the page over, this will be specifically section (10)(B); (10)(A) is not relevant here because that deals with a regulation of emissions but this does fall under (10)(B) which 'For a violation of any ordinance: more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00) for first violation of the ordinance; and except as provided in subsection (c) more than seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00) for a second or subsequent violation of the ordinance'. And you will discover that each day, if you research the law farther, you will discover that each day is considered a separate violation. I believe Indiana legislatures established this law because of situations just like this one. But if you're refer back to document eight (8), the second page (h) again kind of restates what I said: 'Any person within an agency who knowingly participates in a violation of this chapter is subject to the penalties set forth in section 12 of this chapter'. Also, in your...the documents that are provided under Warrick County General Provisions Code this same thing is addressed in chapter 10 section 10.99 with the penalties. If in fact the law has been broken by the elected official. Treasurer Pat Brooks, she is ultimately responsible; however, the law also says that any official who is aware of this violation and does not deter it from occurring could also be held liable. Even if your counsel disagrees with the facts I have presented, all of the events leading up to this point has some very ethically wrong appearances. At this time I will take any questions.

Todd Glass: Mr. President, I'd be happy to have further discussion with Mr. Springstun on a couple of these points...

Don Williams: Sure.

Todd Glass: Particularly Indiana Code...

Philip Springstun: Document number?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Good question...document eight (8), I'll take a look at title four (4) to determine its applicability, if I remember right I think that was just for state officials but I'll double check on that. That's your 4-2-6-16.

Philip Springstun: I see that but I don't see four (4), where do you see four (4) at?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Title four (4) is Indiana Code 4-2-6-16 that you were quoting from?

Philip Springstun: Yes, that's Nepotism, yes.

Todd Glass: Yeah, I don't know if that applicable to county officials, but I'll double check.

Philip Springstun: I believe it is you can...

<u>Todd Glass</u>: I believe it's for state officials but I'll check.

Philip Springstun: I've been advised by counsel that it is so...

Todd Glass: So I'll check that...

Philip Springstun: Okay.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: And maybe follow up with you. And the Indiana Code 36-1-3-6, I'm not particularly familiar with at the moment. That's document twelve (12), so I'll look at that also.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Well, that is...if you'll see that, I believe the reason why that is included is if you look at the language in the Indiana Code of Nepotism it states the penalties involved and this would be the code that identifies those penalties.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Okay but...well, that's worthy of me double checking and cross referencing to see if it's applicable or not, Mr. President. And if I could just...and thank you, Mr. Springstun, it's a very fine summary, but I would point out Mr. President, when we looked at our policy and passed it the only reason some portions were removed from the previous resolution is it conflicted with other clauses in the resolution. So, we were just providing clarity, we weren't removing anything for any particular reason other than to provide clarity to the resolution. But we discussed that in that meeting. But thank you, Mr. Springstun.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: Well, the reason why I identified that is actually when that document was created, which would have been June 11, 2012, was prior the state law went into effect July the 1st 2012, so this actually, in my opinion, when it was put in place was a stricter ordinance than the state law that went into effect in July.

Todd Glass: Yeah, I would respectfully disagree but the problem with the prior resolution, Mr. President, was that on page two (2) it said 'individuals cannot be employed in this situation, individuals cannot be employed in this situation, and individuals cannot be employed in this situation' and the very next paragraph says 'that doesn't apply to those individuals and that situation and in that situation and that situation' so, I was just trying to provide clarity, which one is it, and none of those particular provision actually, in my opinion, were applicable in the Treasurer's situation anyway. So, we weren't removing anything that was actually applicable to the Treasurer's situation at all. But I'll certainly look at some of those points and follow up with you.

<u>Philip Springstun</u>: That's all I can ask. If there are no questions, thank you gentlemen.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Thank you for your input, Mr. Springstun.

DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Warrick County and Warrick County SWMD GIS Data Exchange Agreement

Don Williams: Alright, Departmental Reports.

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Mr. President, Roger Emmons, County Administrator. My first item is Warrick County and Warrick County Solid Waste Management District GIS Data Exchange Agreement. The District Superintendent, Scott Anslinger, and I have discussed this and I thought it might be...he'd be interested in having the Think Map software on his computer for planning purposes and things of that nature. Scott has graciously come in, when I called him he was in Newburgh and rushed up here in case the board has any questions for him but this...we've used this agreement before, there is no charge and no fee for this type of agreement. But what it does it allows Scott to have certain layers of our GIS data and he has marked those for appendix A, that's a part of the agreement, addresses, state and federal highways, local roads, subdivisions, things of that nature that will be helpful in his position. So, this is a standard agreement that WTH Technology has prepared for us and we've done it several time in the past so I'd recommend the board approve this by motion and sign the signature blocks on page four (4).

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, any questions from the Board? If not I'd entertain a motion.

Marlin Weisheit: No, I'll make a motion to approve Scott to have the GIS Think Map.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Motion carries, thank you.

<u>Roger Emmons</u>: Thank you, Mr. President.

Amendment of Capital Improvement Plan

Roger Emmons: Next I have the Amendment of the Warrick County Capital Improvement Plan, this...the Plan expired on December 31st of last year and so we need to get that updated. I sent a request to County Highway Engineer, Bobby Howard, and Economic Development Director, Larry Taylor, for their input as to which things that had been listed on there that could come off, any additions, things of that nature. And so I have done that and I talked to Todd briefly about this, there's been a number of roads from Bobby's input, Lynch Road Project Phase III, that's complete; so anything that got completed has been removed and so that's it in a nut shell if in case you have any specific questions. I did send it down to Todd for his review, there's an ordinance number 2014 and it will be 07. If the board would like to pass it I would request that you take the steps to do so on the night of introduction given the fact that the Warrick County Council has a meeting on March 3rd for a similar purpose. That's what we need to approve the Ordinance first and then by motion we amend the Capital Improvement Plan.

Don Williams: Okay, any questions from the Board? This has been due for a while so...

Todd Glass: Mr. President, I would mention that I had reviewed it and it does comply with the statute.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, thank you.

Rick Reid: I'll make a motion to approve Ordinance 2014-07.

Don Williams: On the first reading?

Rick Reid: On the first reading.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I have a motion to approve Ordinance 2014-07 on the first reading.

Marlin Weisheit: I'll second that.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Motion passes three (3), zero (0).

Roger Emmons: Have you got your list, Marlin on the...

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, I move that we suspend the rules in order to act upon ordinance number 2014-07 on the night

of introduction.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye.

Rick Reid: And I'll make a motion to...

Don Williams: Did you say aye?

Rick Reid: Yes, aye...

- 8 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

Don Williams: Okay.

Rick Reid: And I move to read ordinance number 2014-07 on the second and third readings by title and number only.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Motion carries three (3) zero (0).

<u>Todd Glass</u>: And Roger needs to interject here.

<u>Roger Emmons</u>: It's Warrick County Commissioners Ordinance number 2014-07, briefly An Ordinance of the Board of Commissioners, Warrick County, Relating to the Amendment of the Warrick County Indiana Capital Improvement Plan.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, thank you. Okay, I need a fourth motion to pass the ordinance on the second reading.

Rick Reid: I'll make a motion to move...to pass ordinance number 2014-07 on the second reading.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Motion carries three (3), zero (0).

Marlin Weisheit: And I move to pass ordinance number 2014-07 on the third and final reading.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Motion carries, okay.

Roger Emmons: We need a motion to pass the Amended Capital Improvement Plan.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I thought we just done that...

Roger Emmons: Well, you approved the Ordinance; this is the Amending Ordinance; if that will be sufficient per the counsel then that's fine.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Yeah, that ordinance actually agrees to amend the CIP so I think we're fine.

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: We're okay?

Warrick County Commissioners ORDINANCE NO. 2014 - 01

An Ordinance of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Warrick (the "Board") relating to the amendment of the Warrick County, Indiana, Capital Improvement Plan adopted June 26, 1989, and as amended August 28, 1989; October 23, 1989; March 25, 1991; February 10, 1992; February 8, 1993; August 22, 1994; March 13, 1995; December 14, 1998; January 16, 2002; November 10, 2004; October 12, 2005; May 10, 2006; February 13, 2008; October 22, 2008; August 9, 2010; and March 26, 2012 (dates hereinafter referred to as 'prior amending dates').

WHEREAS, the "Warrick County, Indiana, Capital Improvement Plan", adopted June 26, 1989, and as amended on the prior amending dates, was adopted by the Board by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1989-9 on June 26, 1989, amended by the Board by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1989-16 on August 28, 1989; by motion on March 25, 1991; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1992-4 on February 10, 1992; by motion on February 8, 1993; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1994-18 on August 22, 1994; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1995-7 on March 13, 1995; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 1998-16 on December 14, 1998; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2002-11 on January 16, 2002; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2004-14 on November 10, 2004; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2005-19 on October 12, 2005; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2006-06 on May 10, 2006; by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2008-01 on February 13, 2008; and by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. WARRICK COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' ORDINANCE NO. 2014-07; Page 2

2008-09 on October 22, 2008; and by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2010-10 on August 9, 2010; and by its passage of County Commissioners Ordinance No. 2012-15 on March 26, 2012; and

WHEREAS, there has been presented to this Board for its consideration an amended form of Capital Improvement Plan which amended form of Plan has been approved by the attorney representing the County, and complies with the provisions of IC 6-3.5-7;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WARRICK AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Board hereby amends the "Warrick County, Indiana, Capital Improvement Plan", adopted June 26, 1989, and as amended on the prior amending dates, in the form presented to this meeting, dated February 24, 2014.

Section 2. Two copies of the attached amended Warrick County, Indiana, Capital Improvement Plan, adopted June 26, 1989, and as amended on the prior amending dates, shall be kept on file in the office of the Warrick County Auditor for public inspection.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and upon compliance with the procedures required by law.

The foregoing was passed by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Warrick this ## day of __FEBRUARY__, 2014. Don Williams, President
Richard A. Reid, Vice President
Marlin Weisheit, Commissioner

ATTEST: L.B. "Dixie" Dagan, Auditor

Commissioner Resolution 2014-<u>05</u>, A Resolution of the Warrick County Board of Commissioners Approving Proposal of the Warrick County Redevelopment Commission

Roger Emmons: I do have some other things, Mr. President and Commissioners...do you want me to proceed?

Don Williams: Yes, go ahead and proceed.

Roger Emmons: Okay, the next item, which is generally related to what we were just discussing, has to do with the Commissioner Resolution which will be resolution number 2014-05, A Resolution of the Warrick County Board of Commissioners Approving Proposal of the Warrick County Redevelopment Commission. I don't know...should I read the whole thing or just resolution and title only?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: This is a first reading...

Don Williams: That needs to be read.

Roger Emmons: Well, this is a Resolution, it's not an Ordinance.

Don Williams: Yes, it needs to be read.

Todd Glass: Oh, it's a Resolution, no, just by name and title.

Roger Emmons: Okay, which I just did.

Marlin Weisheit: So we just need to pass that motion.

Rick Reid: Need a motion?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: I'm not sure what he's talking about.

Don Williams: Do we not read...I thought we read the resolution; do I have to read the resolution?

Todd Glass: Yeah, let's go ahead and read that. I don't have that in front of me.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I think we need to read that...

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Yeah, I would read that.

Don Williams: No?

Roger Emmons: Okay.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I got one attorney saying 'yes' and one of them saying 'no' so...

Roger Emmons: I think we've got to go with our counsel. Do you want me to go ahead and read it?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Yes, please, go ahead and read that.

Roger Emmons:

WARRICK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION NO. 2014- 05

A RESOLUTION OF THE WARRICK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APPROVING PROPOSAL OF THE WARRICK COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2013 Indiana University released a Request for Proposal for the Development of a Multi-institutional Academic Health Science and Research Center, Project No. 20131788 ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Warrick County Redevelopment Commission ("RDC") had previously placed under option approximately fifty-five (55) acres of real estate on the Warrick Wellness Trail as a possible site for the Project; and

WHEREAS, Browning Investment, Inc. ("Developer") was engaged by the RDC and on January 31, 2014 submitted a proposal to Indiana University for the Project utilizing the RDC's site ("Proposal"); and

WHEREAS, the RDC has recommended incentives to the Developer that were incorporated into the Proposal; and

WHEREAS, one of the incentives included in the Proposal was the use of and commitment of future funds from the Economic Development Income Tax ("EDIT") allocated by Warrick County for economic development projects as set forth with the Capital Improvement Plan ("CIP").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Warrick County Board of Commissioners, executive body for Warrick County, Indiana, as follows:

- 1. The Warrick County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the incentives offered by the RDC to the Developer in order to secure the Project be located in Warrick County, Indiana.
- 2. The Warrick County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the grant of Four Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,500,000) from the EDIT funds designated for economic development projects for the Project.
- 3. The Warrick County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the pledge and use of seventy-five percent (75%) of the EDIT funds actually collected for economic development projects from EDIT for up to twenty (20) years for issuance and payment of a new bond the proceeds of which shall be utilized for the Project.

PASSED, ISSUED, and APPROVED by the Warrick County Board of Commissioners this 24th day of February, 2014.

Warrick County Board of Commissioners

Rick Reid

Marlin Weisheit

Don Williams

Attest:

County Auditor

Don Williams: Any questions?

Marlin Weisheit: I have none.

Rick Reid: None.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, I entertain a motion.

Rick Reid: I'll make a motion to approve the Resolution 2014-05.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Motion carries three (3), zero (0).

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Ben Labhart, Superintendent of the Parks Department ~ Request for Additional Vacation Days

Roger Emmons: I have just a few other items that shouldn't take much time up. One of the requests I have is by Park Superintendent, Ben Labhart, who's with us, he had...there were a couple of his employees that should have received an additional five (5) days of vacation last year but due to a clerical misinterpretation of the Employee Handbook they didn't get to take that. So Ben has requested that the Board, per the Employee Handbook, approve the additional week of vacation for each employee to take this year which is in his budget, he'll just be without their services...each of their services for an extra week. But this way he won't have to go to the council for an additional appropriation or amended salary ordinance.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, what's the will of the Board?

<u>Marlin Weisheit</u>: I'll make a motion to approve those two (2) employees of park to be able to take their vacation that they lost last year.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries.

Roger Emmons: Thank you very much.

Parcel owned by Midwest Coal Reserves of Indiana, LLC, and leased to Warrick County

Roger Emmons: The next item...the County had leased a parcel, well; it's still leased, owned by Midwest Coal Reserves of Indiana, LLC, which is basically a subsidiary of Peabody Coal. I sent you an email regarding this on February 14th, the subject line had to do with the Bill Goings property. This parcel in question is next...adjoins the Bill Goings property. Mr. Goings has an easement going across it but does not own the parcel. So Mancil Robinson with Peabody Energy Group told me the lease is no longer needed, and for me to send him a letter to that effect and that the Commissioners would like to terminate the lease. Sheriff Kruse could confirm it but Bob Irvin, who used to work on those projects for us, confirmed that we don't have a need for that lease anymore. The tower that was out there was torn down years ago.

Don Williams: Not used, yes.

Roger Emmons: So with the Boards consensus I would send Mr. Robinson...

Marlin Weisheit: It's fine with me.

Rick Reid: That's fine.

Don Williams: Yeah.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: And Roger, if you just work with me on that also we'll make sure that we do that right.

Roger Emmons: Okay.

Lincoln Avenue Extension Project, Phase III-Invitation from BLA to be a consulting party to participate consultation to identify historic properties, assess affects, and seek ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties

Roger Emmons: Then we received a packet from Bernardin Lochmueller and Associates regarding the Lincoln Avenue Extension Project Phase III, they've included a postcard wherein we're invited to be a consulting party to participate in the consultation to identify historic properties, assess affects, and seek ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties. They want to know if we do wish to be a consulting party or we do not wish to be a consulting party. I would defer to Bobby's expertise as to whether or not we need to be.

Bobby Howard: We kind of are by default.

Roger Emmons: Yeah, I understand, it's our project...

Bobby Howard: But we could just click 'yes' and send it back...

Roger Emmons: It is in conjunction with the Section 106 Coordination, which has to do historical projects that may affect historic properties.

Bobby Howard: I would just have it marked 'yes' and...

Don Williams: I think we all agree with Bobby's...just mark 'yes' and send it back?

Marlin Weisheit: Yep.

Minor Release of Gasoline at the Warrick County Jail

Roger Emmons: Okay. One last item has to do with a minor release of gasoline at the Warrick County Jail. We made the mandatory notification to IDEM on this and Scott Anslinger has agreed to take that affected dirt. We had IDEM down to inspect it, we have a quote from Environmental Management Consultants to do the required laboratory analysis where they will review the analysis that will prepare a waste profile and they'll forward the waste manifest to the county for five hundred forty five dollars (\$545.00). My recommendation is for the Board to give them notice to proceed.

Rick Reid: Sure

Don Williams: I agree.

Marlin Weisheit: I agree.

Rick Reid: I agree.

Roger Emmons: Okay, that's all I have, Gentlemen.

Don Williams: Thank you.

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

COUNTY AUDITOR

Claims

Don Williams: Mr. Auditor, you have some claims for us, Sir?

<u>Dixie Dugan</u>: Yes, Sir, Warrick County Auditor, I have two (2); I have the Payroll and the Expense Claims. Would you

like them together or first or second or...?

<u>Don Williams</u>: Let's take them one at a time.

<u>Dixie Dugan</u>: One at a time.

Don Williams: Give me the Payroll first please.

<u>Dixie Dugan</u>: The Payroll first; a total of three hundred ninety two thousand six hundred sixty nine dollars and eighty

seven cents (\$392,669.87).

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, if there's no question from the board I entertain a motion.

Rick Reid: Motion to approve.

Marlin Weisheit: Second.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Okay, motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Aye. Pay the claims.

Dixie Dugan: Now the Claims, Sir.

Don Williams: Okay.

<u>Dixie Dugan</u>: Mr. President, six hundred and eighty six thousand seven hundred thirty eight dollars and five cents

(\$686,738.05).

Marlin Weisheit: I make a motion to pay the Claims.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Aye. Motion carries three (3), zero (0).

Marlin Weisheit: Thanks, Dixie.

Rick Reid: Thanks, Dix.

COUNTY PURCHASING

Lillpop Building Demolition Quotes

Don Williams: County Purchasing.

<u>Joe Grassman</u>: Joe Grassman, Purchasing Manager, first order of business; I have bids to demolish the Lillpop Building which I'm going to open and read in no particular order. The first one here is from Ray Stradtner Excavating. The estimate of the quote from Ray Stradtner Excavating is fifty three thousand six hundred ninety three dollars (\$53,693.00). The second quote is from Klenk; Klenk's quote is fifteen thousand eight hundred forty dollars (\$15,840.00). Third and last bid, Jerry Aigner Construction, forty four thousand nine hundred fifty dollars (\$44,950.00).

Rick Reid: Are we talking about the same building?

- 14 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

<u>Don Williams</u>: Did you have a pre-bid meeting and they all were aware...?

<u>Joe Grassman</u>: Yeah, everybody was...well informed.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I don't think we need to take it under advisement.

Marlin Weisheit: I don't either.

Rick Reid: I'd award it. I'll make a motion to approve for the Klenk Construction at fifteen thousand eight forty

(\$15,840.00).

Marlin Weisheit: I'll second that.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries.

Marlin Weisheit: I forgot to not make you vote.

Don Williams: Did we not vote?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, but I was going to have you vote...

Don Williams: I vote aye then, just for you.

Marlin Weisheit: There you go you made me happy, Don.

Don Williams: When a vote is two (2) to one (1) the President need not vote.

Marlin Weisheit: I know I just like to see you vote once in awhile, so I'm proud of you.

Laughter

Don Williams: Just to please you.

Joe Grassman: I'll inform the Klenk Company that they have permission to proceed.

Marlin Weisheit: Thanks Joe, good job on that.

Don Williams: Thank you, you got another one.

Nurses' Office New Flooring Project Quotes

<u>Joe Grassman</u>: Second, we have some bids here now for some new flooring at the Nurses' Department downstairs. I have two (2) bids and the first one here is from Meuth Carpets and these were of course specked out and all bidders had the same information. Okay, we're going to have three (3) bids per bid; we're bidding a vinyl, a square vinyl piece of flooring that can be either adhered to the floor with adhesive or with grout so we're going to have an option of a square tile either adhered to the floor with adhesive or grout so the square tile...square vinyl tile, um, adhered to the floor with adhesive is four thousand four hundred forty five dollars and sixty three cents (\$4,445.63). The same item, the square vinyl flooring adhered to the floor with grout is forty six hundred dollars (\$4,600.00). And then the other option we considered was another material the same its vinyl but it's in the shape of planks and it looks like a...

Roger Emmons: Wooden floor...

Rick Reid: Laminate? Is it laminate?

<u>Joe Grassman</u>: No, its vinyl also, it's actually the same material as the squares only it's in a seven (7) inch by three (3) foot strips. It looks like a piece of wood. To install that would be five thousand forty five dollars and sixty three cents (\$5,045.63). The second bid is from Sunrise Pioneer, Incorporated. Okay, his bid for the square vinyl tile laid with adhesive is three thousand eight hundred eighty eight dollar (\$3,888.00), same material installed with grout is forty six hundred dollars (\$4,600.00) and he declined to quote the plank material. I've been asked by the Health Department Administrator that if you wouldn't mind taking these under advisement he'd like to review the bids, give it some consideration.

Don Williams: That's fine.

Rick Reid: Sure, that's fine.

Roger Emmons: Is that the only two (2) we got, Joe?

<u>Joe Grassman</u>: Yeah, the third guy never came back. That's all I have.

<u>Don Williams</u>: Entertain a motion to take under advisement.

Marlin Weisheit: I'd make that motion.

Rick Reid: Second.

Don Williams: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

- 15 - Warrick County Board of Commissioners Meeting Minutes

February 24, 2014

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Don Williams: Aye. Three (3), zero (0).

Marlin Weisheit: Thanks, Joe.

Joe Grassman: Yeah, thank you.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

Don Williams: County Attorney you have any additional?

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Yes, Mr. President, I'd like to briefly update the board on some possible ordinances to consider that just today I distributed to you a new ordinance to consider on updating our health insurance ordinance as it relates to retirees. We actually have an ordinance on that and we passed a...our plan changed in January as to spousal coverage, so I recommended a change to that ordinance for your consideration and we can bring up at the next board meeting.

Don Williams: And there is not benefits being cut or anything like that in case anybody gets excited about that.

Todd Glass: That's correct.

Don Williams: Just updating the ordinance.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Just to reflect our current plan.

Don Williams: Okay.

<u>Todd Glass</u>: And also would like to know if it is the Board's pleasure for me to recommend a possible ordinance to consider to assist primarily the Auditor's Office who gets inundated with requests under the Public Access to Records Act from commercial enterprises. These are often businesses and entities from the east coast or elsewhere that are trying to get the public employees to dig up some information for them so they can go and then benefit from commercially. The ordinance in the Public Access to Records Act or the statute actually allows counties to adopt an ordinance addressing that problem. So, I was going to look at the statute and propose to the board, if you wish me to, to try to address that problem for the Auditor's Office.

Don Williams: Any comments?

Rick Reid: Is that something they'll be charging them for that information or something?

<u>Don Williams</u>: Well, what we have it...we have it recently where we had a corporation from Florida come in and said they wanted every invoice for the last five (5) years and the ordinance by federal law even has to be specific request and so I think it'd be for who was to do that.

Roger Emmons: That that particular company...that's the business they were trying to sell us was an invoicing system, a centralized purchasing system, so in effect they were trying to get us to do work, like Todd said, so they would eventually profit from it. That's the way I looked at it.

Don Williams: We've had three (3) or four (4) of those...

<u>Todd Glass</u>: Yes, and I know the Auditor's Office would probably appreciate some direction from the board so I'm going to work with the Auditor and come up with a suggested change for you there.

Marlin Weisheit: I think that would be great.

Don Williams: That's good. Anything else, Sir?

Todd Glass: No, that's all needed to update the board on.

COUNTY ENGINEER

Don Williams: County Engineer.

<u>Bobby Howard</u>: The only item I have tonight is the LPA Consulting Contract with Bernardin Lochmueller for the Oak Grove Road Phase II Project. This has been approved by INDOT and its approximately thirty eight (38) parcels and it's for an amount not to exceed two hundred forty three thousand seven hundred sixty eight dollars (\$243,768.00). And I would just a request this be approved tonight to stay on project schedule for this project.

Marlin Weisheit: I'll make a motion to approve.

Rick Reid: Second.

<u>Don William</u>: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Rick Reid: Aye.

Marlin Weisheit: Aye.

Don Williams: Motion carries. Anything else?

Bobby Howard: That's all I have, thank you.

COUNTY HIGHWAY

Don Williams: How about Highway?

Bobby Howard: I have nothing tonight, thank you.

<u>Don Williams</u>: I understand from personal observation and reports that our roads are falling apart due to the weather.

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Don Williams: Easy answer.

<u>Bobby Howard</u>: We are making all of our chip and seal and gravel roads as passable as we can right now with this last thaw. We're planning on gearing up for our pot hole blitz here...of course we're running back into freezing weather again this week so hopefully we'll have three (3) pot hole crews running here in the next month when...as soon as weather breaks basically.

Marlin Weisheit: This has been the toughest winter we've had for awhile...

<u>Don Williams</u>: A long time.

Marlin Weisheit: You're still okay on salt if we get hit again?

Bobby Howard: Yes, we're still good on salt.

Marlin Weisheit: Hopefully we still...it's over but the way this winter's been going...

Bobby Howard: We don't know...

Marlin Weisheit: We always got to be prepared, yeah.

COUNTY SHERIFF

Don Williams: Sheriff, you have anything?

Sheriff Kruse: No.

Marlin Weisheit: Fuel tank working okay?

Sheriff Kruse: Yeah, it's still holding that gas it's got in it.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, good.

COMMISSIONERS ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

Commissioner Williams: Do you have anything, Commissioner Reid?

Commissioner Reid: No, Sir.

Commissioner Williams: Commissioner Weisheit, do you have anything?

Commissioner Weisheit: No, Sir.

Commissioner Williams: Entertain a motion.

<u>Commissioner Reid</u>: Motion to adjourn.

Commissioner Weisheit: Second.

<u>Commissioner Williams</u>: Motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

Commissioner Weisheit: Aye.

Commissioner Reid: Aye.

Commissioner Williams: We are adjourned, thank you.

WARRICK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RICHARD A. REID, VICE PRESIDENT

DON WILLIAMS, PRESIDENT

MARLIN WEISHEIT, MEMBER ATTEST:

L.B. DUGAN, AUDITOR WARRICK COUNTY, INDIANA